Physical-mechanical potential properties of wastes from glass lapping to produce mortar as partial replacement of the conventional aggregate

Propriedades físico-mecânicas de resíduos da lapidação de vidro utilizados em argamassa cimentícia como substituinte parcial do agregado convencional

Abstract

Glass lapping residues (RLV) are fine tailings from the processing of glass for civil construction, commonly non-recyclable. The present study analyzed the use of these residues in Portland cement mortar composition, partially replacing the conventional aggregate, aiming at better compaction. Percentages of residues were adopted at 0% (reference), 5%, 10% and 20% by mass, replacing the fine conventional aggregate (sand). The binder used was Portland cement CP IV-32. The RLV and fine aggregate were submitted to physical tests, through grain size analysis, grain shape and specific mass; RLV and cement, in turn, submitted to chemical analysis by X-ray spectrometry, to identify the compounds. To evaluate the compressive strength and compaction analysis of the composite in the hardened state, cylindrical specimens 50x100 mm were produced. ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) and Coefficient of Variation showed that the RLV added in 5% resulted in lower voids indexes and moisture absorption than the conventional one. The tests also showed best mechanical performance on compression analysis (30.2 MPa) for 5% of residues in the composite, surpassing the conventional one.
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Resumo

Resíduos da lapidação de vidros (RLV) são rejeitos finos do beneficiamento de vidros para construção civil, comumente descartados e não recicláveis. O presente estudo analisou a utilização destes resíduos na composição de argamassa de cimento Portland, substituindo parcialmente o agregado convencional, visando uma melhor compactação. Adotaram-se percentuais de resíduos em 0% (referência), 5%, 10% e 20% em massa, substituindo o agregado miúdo (areia). O aglomerante utilizado foi o cimento Portland CP IV-32. O RLV e agregado miúdo foram submetidos a ensaios físicos, através de análise granulométrica, forma dos grãos e massa específica; RLV e cimento, por sua vez, submetidos à análise química por espectrometria de raios-X, para identificação dos compostos. Para avaliação da resistência à compressão e análise da compactação do compósito em estado endurecido, foram confecionados corpos de prova cilíndricos 50x100 mm. ANOVA (Analisys of Variance) e Coeficiente de Variação mostraram que o RLV adicionado em 5% resultou menores índices de vazios e absorção de umidade do que o convencional. Os testes também mostraram que 5% do resíduo no compósito apresenta o melhor desempenho mecânico por compressão (30,2 MPa), superando o convencional.
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1. Introduction

Brazilian law encourages the adoption of solid waste disposal practices aimed at environmental non-degradation, encouraging non-generation and recommends reuse, avoiding inappropriate final disposal [1].

The processing (production) of glass to civil construction, in this context, basically generates two types of waste: the “shards” or large chips (which can be recycled by the glass industry in the form of household utensils, packaging, etc.) and a fine powder, result of the cutting, drilling and finishing of the glass board, which are rejected in the recyclers because they damage the ovens, due its fineness [2]. The reuse of fine waste can offer advantages such as cost reduction, no negative environmental impact and no natural resource consumption [3], indirect objectives in this work.

In the State of Rondônia, Brazil, fine waste is generated by three glass processing industries today. In Porto Velho, located to the north of the State, information was collected at a local industry about the production, processing of glass and generation of fine waste. According to the information obtained from the Company’s technical staff, glass lapping waste (RLV) is a waste from cutting and cutting machines, which thin and finish the sides of the boards and the perforation of the glass. According to the Company, approximately 800 kg of RLV is generated monthly, which totals around 30 tons / year, processed in 3 (three) lapping machines on the State.

On mentioned region the disposal of the waste material follows a route that begins with the collection and temporary storage at the place of origin, being periodically removed through buckets with a 2-3 m³ of capacity, and finally led to an open pit deposit, sometimes mixed the larger grains. During the visit to the warehouse, information was obtained on the rejection of the material by the glass industry, including those portions mistakenly mixed with the coarse material during storage.

In order to avoid improperly disposing of non-recyclable waste, studies have been conducted with glass waste, mainly as a substitute for the binder (given its low particle size and chemical properties of pozzolanic material), and taking advantage of the grains above 75 µm as fine aggregate, because the material behaves in this grain diameter [4]. Fine aggregate can also aid in compaction by filling voids between larger grains, but its application as a coarse aggregate is not indicated [5].

The use of these tailings has two advantages – it can reduce cement consumption and direct cost of mortar [6] – also considering the possibility of decreasing natural aggregate consumption.

It is noteworthy that the reduction of Portland cement meets the claims of environmentally friendly development, since cement production accounts for 5% of all CO₂ produced worldwide, and consumes a large amount of energy in its production [7].

In addition to binder economy, fine glass powder also contributes to the ultimate strength as it has cementing properties of a pozzolanic material [8]. The strength gain tends to increase as the material becomes thiner [5].

Considering that the optimization of granulometry can change the packing density favoring the reduction of voids [9], it was decided to verify, in this study, the degree of packing (compaction) provided by the addition of fine glass dust in a certain range size of the selected sand.

The packing density is literally defined as “the fraction h of a volume filled by a given collection of solids” [10].

Londero [9] explains that packing density is essential for determining a suitable aggregate application with reduced void rates and optimized cement consumption in composites; and this (packing density) is directly related to the various particle size classes, possibly found in a given sand.

However, a particle size distribution, based on reduced grain diameters, can cause inconveniences related to the increase of the specific surface and, consequently, high water demand in the mixture or difficulties in workability [11]. Given the possibility of impairment of workability, the increase of water / cement ratio (a / c), to correct this fact, tends to impact mechanical performance ([6]; [12]), being the viable alternative the use of polyfunctional additive.

The fine glass powder in the cementitious mixture has recognized properties related to the pozzolanicity of the material; that is, it favors increased strength and durability of the composite material ([13]; [14]). But, chemically, it should be a matter of attention, since alkali-silica reactions tend to compromise cement hydration in combination with RLV, and given the presence of Na₂O oxides in the composition, may generate material expandability reactions [15].

Also is very important the shape of the beans. This factor may influence workability and consistency as lamellar and slightly rounded grains become less fluid [16]. However, heterogeneity in grain shape may have benefits in mechanical adhesion between the aggregates and the cementitious matrix, increasing their bonding strength [17].

The study of the appropriate particle size range should contribute to the compaction of the composite, ie preferably composed of fine aggregates. In this sense, O. Ribeiro [18] selected about 90% of RLV with diameters smaller than 101.2 µm in his research. Thus, the grain diameter can be easily defined, as the grinding process can be performed by various equipment e.g. ball mills, manual pylons and also using standard sieves, in the desired dimensions [3]. On the other hand, Arnold [19], in tests with mortars with 10% increase in filler content, found the smaller the material size, the more air incorporated, already in the fresh state. This material behavior means that need to optimize flowability and workability, as well as the search for an ideal w / c ratio, factors that can lead to better results, already in the mixing process [12].

Regarding mechanical performance, in Turgut [4] tests on blocks made of glass dust, around 25 MPa compressive strength was obtained in the composite, although in that study there was addition of fly ash and a type of powder from limestone crushers, to achieve better results. In another research, Aliabdo [20] tested 25% glass dust mortar, achieving 24 MPa compressive strength within 7 days of cure; Simões [13] had better results with a maximum of 15% of addition, at about 31 MPa, at 28 days; and Islam [21], for 25% of waste at 28 days, reached about 30 MPa.

Aiming to determine the overall performance, this work studied some physical, chemical (compound identification) and mechanical characteristics of the fine glass lapping residues added to the cementitious mortar, replacing part of the natural fine aggregate in order to reduce the porosity and hypothetically improve compaction. This hypothesis was directly reflected in the mechanical performance, which was also evaluated.
2. Materials and experimental program

2.1 Materials characterization

2.1.1 Portland cement

The chosen CP IV-32 has wide regional availability, produced by Votorantim Cimentos - Porto Velho. Tests were performed to determine the fineness modulus by sieving through 75 µm mesh sieve [22] and the setting times were determined using the Vicat device [23]. Chemical characterization was performed by X-ray fluorescence, and its contents were identified for later comparison with the chemical composition of the RLV.

2.1.2 RLV

Lapidation residues were collected from the ETE (Effluent treatment plant), in an amount of approximately 50 kg, in a pasty state, placed at rest in the shade for 20 days, where they acquired solid consistency by drying at room temperature; later reduced to clods (Figure 1). To characterize the fineness of the RLV, once torn and ground through a grain mill, the procedure described in NBR 9289 [24] - wash sieving was performed using 75 µm (No. 200) and 300 mesh screens. µm (# 50). The same procedure was used for hydrated lime fineness, since, after milling, RLV has similar fineness (since there is no specific standardization for its residue fineness). The sample to obtain the fineness index was 50g through the 600µm mesh. The fraction of the powder selected for use was that passed through the 300 µm mesh sieve. In order to interpret the suitability between waste and sand grains, it was convenient to observe, by optical microscopy increased by 400x, the final shape of the grains to be used, after grinding and screening, whose image is presented and discussed below. The specific mass of these residues was obtained by the Le Chatelier flask method using the common kerosene (NBR NM 23, [25]) as the immersion liquid.

Chemical analysis and loss on ignition of the RLV were requested from the Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), on a sample (200g) of the material, ground and passing through the 300 µm mesh sieve, fraction to be applied in the studies. These analyzes aimed to determine whether RLV aggregates chemical components incompatible with hydration and cement chemistry or with strength gain for the composite. The assays were developed by X-ray fluorescence using PANalytical Axios Max Spectrometer.

2.1.3 Sand

The sand (natural fine aggregate) used was extracted from the Candeias River in the municipality of Candeias do Jamary, RO (23 km from Porto Velho), collected directly from the extraction site (8 ° 47'53.5 "S 63 ° 42'47.3" W), aiming to obtain sand as clean as possible. Approximately 50 kg of the small aggregate were collected in piles stored at the site, taking care to bag samples from at least two distinct piles, aiming at a better representation of the material. The properties of sieving particle size analysis (NBR NM 248, [26]) and specific mass were obtained through the Chapman flask method (NBR 9776, [27]). Aiming at a possible application of the composite material in coatings, replacing the conventional mortar, the particle size range (NBR 7211, [28]) of the passing conventional aggregate in the sieve 2.36 mm was used for the mixture. This fraction may contribute to better compaction combined with the thin diameter of the RLV. Matos [8] analyzed application with considerable demand of thinner aggregates (≤ 2.36 mm) in contribution to improve performance of self-compacting concretes.

2.2 Experimental program

2.2.1 Dosage study and composite production

Table 1 gives the definition of the tested mixtures with parts of the respective raw materials. To the production of specimens, standard procedures were used, following the w / c ratio of 0.48 and the 1: 3 dash (NBR 7215, [29]).
For the binder, the aggregate parts (identified in Table 1 with “[ ]”) were related, in order to 0% of RLV in the reference mixture (RLV0), reaching 20% of the residue by mass (RLV20) partially replacing the fine aggregate mass. Note that the sum of the aggregate parts for all mixtures retains the mass defined in the reference mixture. The percentage limits for waste mixtures follow other work already done ([4]; [12]; [20]).

In an initial experimental mix, due to the unavailability of equipment for the flow table test [30], it was found that there was a high demand for water by the aggregates, which was noticeable in the kneading process, causing difficulties in workability. Thus, arbitrated plasticizer additive content of 0.8% of the cement mass was adopted (limit of 1% recommended by the manufacturer). MasterPolyheed® 30 additive was chosen, used in the production of machined concretes and mortars. This additive has a chemical base in lignosulfonates (improves cohesion and decreases segregation), and density between 1.15 and 1.19 (g / cm³) [31].

Packing density and bulk density were obtained using a 25 cm³ cylindrical container and tested one by one for sand, RLV and mixtures RLV5, RLV10 and RLV20; the bulk density obtained by method A (compacted) described in NBR NM 45 [32] and the packing density by Equation 1, for each material and for the mixtures, according to the percentage participation of the phases (0, 5, 10 and 20 %), being partial \( \beta_i \) summed to define \( \beta_{\text{total}} \) of each mixture [33], according to Equation 2.

\[
\beta_i = 1 - \frac{\rho_{i} - \rho_{p}}{\gamma}
\]

\[
\rho_{\text{total}} = \beta_{\text{sand}[i]} + \beta_{\text{RLV}[i]}
\]

Where,
- \( \beta \) is packing density;
- \( \gamma \) is specific mass;
- \( \rho \) is bulk density;
- \( [i] \) is percentage contribution of each phase in the composite.

To determine the physical and mechanical properties of the composite, cylindrical specimens 50x100 mm (diameter x height) were produced. The mixtures were prepared in a mechanical mortar mixer, with the cement unit fraction defined at 1500g (Table 2), sufficient to mold, with each mixture, 10 cylindrical specimens, making a total of 40 specimens. RLV0 and RLV20. If there was excess material after the molding of each series, it was discarded. Once molded the specimens, after 24h were demoulded and immediately cured in a water immersion tank, remaining immersed for 25 days, after which non-destructive physical analyzes were performed before the destructive tests.

### 2.2.2 Physical analysis of hardened composite

The procedures for physical characterization were performed following the prescription in NBR 9778 [34]. For the tests, the specimens, in number of three specimens for each mixture, were initially oven-dried for 24h at a temperature of 110 ± 5 °C. The method used was the mass determinations by immersion in water (hydrostatic weighing); initially with dry weighing, then water immersed weighing and dry surface saturated weighing, recording the masses at each weighing.

### 2.2.3 Mechanical analysis of hardened composite

Mechanical performance tests were performed to determine the influence of RLV on the compressive strength of conventional material. The 50x100 mm cylindrical specimens were submitted to axial compression, with 10 tests for each type of mixture. The compressive strength tests were performed at the age of 28 days of cure, at the Votorantim Cimentos Physical Testing Laboratory - Porto Velho. The specimens were prepared as prescribed in NBR 5738 [35]. The machine used was a Toni Technik, with load capacity up to 300 kN, configured for load application at a constant speed of 0.25 Mpa / s.

### 3. Results and discussions

#### 3.1 Material properties

The Portland CP IV-32 cement used in this study showed a chemical composition with the percentage levels presented in Table 3.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>Ratio cement: [sand:RLV] + a/c + additive Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RLV0</td>
<td>1:[3:0] + 0.48 + 0.008 Referência</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV5</td>
<td>1:[2.85:0.15] + 0.48 + 0.008 5% résíduo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV10</td>
<td>1:[2.70:0.30] + 0.48 + 0.008 10% résíduo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV20</td>
<td>1:[2.40:0.60] + 0.48 + 0.008 20% résíduo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>RLV0</th>
<th>RLV5</th>
<th>RLV10</th>
<th>RLV20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>4275</td>
<td>4050</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasticizer</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>MgO (%)</th>
<th>Al₂O₃ (%)</th>
<th>SiO₂ (%)</th>
<th>SO₃ (%)</th>
<th>CaO (%)</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃ (%)</th>
<th>Na₂O (%)</th>
<th>Loss on ignition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP IV-32</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>35.63</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>40.50</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV (%)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>7.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
compatible with the specifications of NBR 5736 [36], together with the results of the chemical analysis of the RLV, for comparison purposes.

The silicoaluminous components (Al$_2$O$_3$ + SiO$_2$), characteristic of the pozzolanic material, contribute about 47% in the cement composition, not exceeding the specified normative values between 15-50% [36]. As the addition of RLV increases the silica content in the mixture (Table 3), pozzolanicity may be increased [13]. Alkali-silica reactions can generate material expandability due to the presence of sodium [15]. When compared to other pozzolanic material standard [37], RLV reached 68.3% for the total SiO$_2$ + Al$_2$O$_3$ + Fe$_2$O$_3$ compounds, compared to the 50% required for pozzolanic material (for pozzolanic E standard materials). However, other studies showed samples exceeding 70% for the compounds [4]. The loss on ignition, verified at 7.74%, slightly exceeded the established in the standard, which is 6% maximum.

The result of the sand particle size analysis resulted in a regular curve (Figure 2), showing to be an aggregate of well distributed grain diameters, being in a fineness modulus range 2.90, almost totally in the standardized optimum zone (NBR 7211, [28]). Residual grains (Figure 3) were often lamellar or angular. As the grain shape could not be controlled in the milling process, this may have potentiated the workability (as already mentioned in the dosage study), since the grain shape influences the consistency [16] and the friction between them can cause difficulties in the mixing process. However, heterogeneity in grain shape may have benefits in mechanical adhesiveness [17].

Irregular grain geometry is not just the case with larger grains. Also with 33µm microparticles, observed by Scanning Electron Microscopy, this feature has already been proven [3].

The sample of residues with passing grains in the 600 µm sieve mesh, submitted to the washing fineness procedure [24], showed that 56.55% are smaller than 300 µm. Already by dry sieving (NBR NM 248, [26]) - Figure 2, this index rises to close to 80%. Other research reports up to 90% of RLV with grains below 101.2 [18]. Considering the same grain range (<600 µm) for the natural fine aggregate, it was observed that only 16% are less than 300 µm. This shows that the RLV below 600 µm has a higher powdery grain mass than sand. Therefore, there is a possibility of achieving better compression ratios. Regarding the fineness of the analyzed cement, it was verified in 6.7%, being below 8%, the maximum standardized for this type of cement [36], also showing that more than 93% of the grains have a diameter smaller than or equal to 75µm, indicating better suitability of compaction and pozzolanic reaction with the RLV grains used.

The waste grains (<= 300µm) will fill in the voids between the sand grains in the 2.36 mm range. Since the specific mass of conventional sand is higher than that of RLV (Table 4), this indicates that the substitution by the residue will not increase the specific mass of the hardened composite. Thus, the higher the percentage of substitution, the smaller will be the specific mass of the dry state composite.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Specific mass (g/cm$^3$) Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RLV</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.01 NBR NM 23 [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.01 NBR 9776 [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP IV – 32</td>
<td>2.97 ± 0.03 NBR NM 23 [25]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In evaluations of moisture and porosity absorption levels, the RLV0 (reference) presented index between 1.5 and 2%, respectively.
higher than the RLV5. Although the difference between the averages is not high, the coefficients of variation practically double in value, e.g., 7.31% (RLV5) against 4.16% (RLV0), for moisture absorption. (Table 5). The high relative variation in porosity and moisture absorption index may indicate the occurrence of material segregation during composite preparation. Mean void indexes indicate a decrease in RLV5, with a tendency towards stabilization in RLV20, with index close to the RLV0 composite. In this item the fineness of the material may influence the incorporation of voids [19], already in the fresh state. This fact, combined with the possible segregation of the materials, may determine the increase in the voids index as the fine residue content increases. The increase in voids does not affect specific mass significantly until RLV10 (Table 5), and the differences only for RLV20 are better noticeable. Even though no significant reduction in specific mass was found, for the dry sample there was a significant decrease between the reference and the 20% residue content, from 2.09 to 1.97 g/cm³, approximately 6%.

### 3.3 Mechanical performance and cement consumption

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) performed on compressive strength results, for each residue content, indicated a difference at 5% significance level. Analyzing the averages (Table 6) by pairs (Tukey test), it was found that all treatments have significant difference in relation to the reference composite (RLV0), but none among them (RLV5 to RLV20). The differences between RLV0 and RLV5, RLV10 and RLV20 were 36.2%, 20.8% and 23.4%, respectively, with more than 27 MPa average for the latter. In Turgut tests [4] it was approximately 25 MPa.

The 5% residue showed a better fit between RLV and sand fines. For other percentages, there is a decrease in strength, possibly caused by failures in densification / mixing and / or segregation of materials, due to impaired workability; Even so, at 20% addition, the composite was 1.23 times stronger than the reference. The increase in compressive strength in general is largely due to the cementing properties of the thin glass residue. On the other hand, a factor that would imply loss of resistance is the increase in the voids index (Table 5), as the residues increase. Despite the reduction in workability, maintaining the water / cement ratio at 0.48 contributed greatly to compressive strength. Miranda Jr. [12], with an increase of this index (reaching 0.58), showed a decrease in resistance to about 17 MPa at 28 days, for any residue levels tested (0-20%). In this sense, the literature shows that better results for the addition of glass powder to the cementitious mortar occurred with substitutions around 25%. Aliabdo [20] reached 24 MPa within 7 days of healing; Simões [13] obtained about 31 MPa at 28 days; and Islam [21] at 28 days reached about 30 MPa.

In this work it was verified a cement consumption (Figure 4) reduced by 1.54% between RLV0 and RLV20, even without reducing the binder mass in the mixtures. This can be explained by the higher particle packing density (Table 7), which tends to reduce the spaces to be filled by the cement paste [11]; Moreover, since the

### Table 5

Physical characteristics of hardened composites determined by immersion in water. CV = coefficient of variation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite Index</th>
<th>RLV0</th>
<th>RLV5</th>
<th>RLV10</th>
<th>RLV20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture absorption (%)</td>
<td>5.63 ± 0.23</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>4.43 ± 0.32</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voids index (%)</td>
<td>11.75 ± 0.46</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>9.31 ± 0.64</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific mass (g/cm³)</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6

Results of the compressive strength tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
<th>RLV0</th>
<th>RLV5</th>
<th>RLV10</th>
<th>RLV20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22.18 ± 3.2 a</td>
<td>30.21 ± 2.0 b</td>
<td>26.79 ± 4.5 b</td>
<td>27.36 ± 2.5 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Averages with different letters indicate significant difference between them by the Tukey test at 5% probability

### Table 7

Characteristics of the footings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Bulk density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>1.064 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV0</td>
<td>1.058 ± 0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV5</td>
<td>1.697 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV10</td>
<td>1.738 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLV20</td>
<td>1.758 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
specific mass of the waste is smaller than sand, substitution with the same mass tends to increase the volume of solids [16], reducing the cement consumption per m³. The RLV20 composite has the advantage of lower cement consumption and mechanical strength gain of over 23%, as well as lower density among all composites. The packing density is naturally higher for sand grains, given their bulk density greater than RLV [9]. On the other hand, when analyzing the mixtures, the packing density increase as the fine material content increases. The value of $\beta = 0.64$ for RLV5 already demonstrates an improvement in grain compaction, increasing to RLV20, i.e. there is better grain suitability in mixtures than in conventional material.

4. Conclusions

This work aimed to analyze some physical, chemical and mechanical properties of the conventional material and glass lapping residues, as well as a hardened cementitious composite (mortar). The chemical analysis of cement and RLV showed a optimization in pozzolanicity, due to the increase in the content of $\text{SiO}_2 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ compounds, with improvement of the cementing properties. Due to the bonding properties of RLV, the compressive strength for the RLV5 composite reached 30.2 MPa at 28 days, representing the best performance, about 36% above reference. The other contents of additions also showed higher resistance than conventional, although lower than RLV5. The increase in fine aggregate content led to increased water consumption and difficulties in workability, low grain size effect and irregular shape (lamellar and angular) of the waste grains. The low density and difficulties in workability, low grain size effect and irregular shape (lamellar and angular) of the waste grains, as well as a hardened cementitious composite (mortar). The study suggests optimizing the water / cement ratio to improve workability, avoid material segregation and reduce voids, as well as to test other particle size ranges of the conventional aggregate, with a view to adjusting the packing related to the RLV.

5. Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Faculty of Rondônia - FARO, for assigning the Concrete Laboratory for Experiments and Votorantim Cement - Porto Velho, for conducting mechanical tests.

6. References


Physical-mechanical potential properties of wastes from glass lapping to produce mortar as partial replacement of the conventional aggregate

